

ISBN-13: 978-1-61918-008-6
(6.14 x 9.21 Trade Paper)

Other formats --

ISBN-13: 978-1-877981-16-6

(7 x 10 Trade Paper 12p font)

ISBN-13: 978-1-61918-003-1

(Matte Lamination Hardback)

ISBN-13: 978-1-61918-006-2

(Kindle or e-Book on Apple's iBooks)

ISBN-13: 978-1-61918-007-9

(iBooks Author edition)

Becoming-One Publications

b1publ.com | becomingone.org/books.htm

Documentation

When you see, “The God, all in all ([1 Cor 15:28](#)),” it means that this is a quote from the New Testament letter called First Corinthians, chapter 15, verse 28. If you see “2 Cor” it would mean the *second* letter of the Corinthians. If you see “[2 Cor 11:4](#)” it would mean we quoted from the second letter of the Corinthians, the 11th chapter, and the 4th verse. But sometimes you will see a documentation such as “([1 Pet 2:4](#))” after a sentence that has no quotes. This kind of documentation is used in order to *support* the previous sentence or sentences, or to *point out other similar or related views* of the previous sentence or sentences, or to *add new light* to the previous sentence or sentences.

When you see reference to “GP7” it means more information can be found in *God Papers* (aka *God*), chapter 7. When you see “GP2” this means more information can be found in the *God*, chapter 2. When you see gp283, it means the information can be found in the *God* paragraph #283.

BP = Beginning Papers (found on web site)

NM = *New Mind Papers* (aka: *New Mind and Christianity*)

GP = *God Papers* (aka *God: God is the Becoming-One*)

PR = *Prophecy Papers*

CP = *Chronology Papers* (*Chronology of the World*)

cf or cf. = confer or compare

p. or pp. = page or pages

w/ = with

BeComing-One Papers
by
Walter R. Dolen
(c) 1971-2017

Important Principles to Understand

Logic: “The most certain principle of all is that regarding which it is impossible to be mistaken; for such a principle must be both the best known ... and non-hypothetical. For a principle which every one must have who understands anything that is, is not a hypothesis; and that which every one must know who knows anything ... Evidently then such a principle is the most certain of all ... **It is, that the same attribute cannot at the same time belong and not belong to the same subject and in the same respect.**” [Aristotle in *Metaphysics*]

Good and Evil: “There can be nothing more inept than the people who suppose that good could have existed without the existence of evil. Good and evil being antithetical, both must needs subsist in opposition, each serving, as it were, by its contrary pressure as a prop to the other. No contrary, in fact can exist, without its correlative contrary. How could there be any meaning in ‘justice,’ unless there were such things as wrongs? What *is* justice but the prevention of injustice? What could anyone understand by ‘courage,’ but the antithesis of cowardice? Or by ‘continence,’ but for that of self-indulgence? What room for prudence, unless there was imprudence? Why do not such men in their folly go on to ask that there should be such a thing as truth, and not such a thing as falsehood? The same may be said of good and evil, felicity and inconvenience, pleasure

and pain. There things are tied, Plato puts it, each to the other, by their heads: if you take away one, you take away the other.” [Chrysippus, *Fragment* 1169. On the problem of evil. Barrett, p. 64]

Introduction

This book attempts to demonstrate in a logical way who or what God is, assuming a few premises. Although most people believe in God or gods, some do not. Why, if God is good and all powerful, is there suffering? Why do innocent children suffer through no fault of their own. Why do we die? God made the angels immortal, why didn't he create us immortal? Why do we get diseases? Since he created the world, why did he place the possibility of diseases in the world? Sure, we bring on some of these things ourselves by eating poorly, using poor hygiene or by risky behavior. But what about those diseases caused by mosquitoes or microscopic germs or genetics? Didn't God create mosquitoes, germs and genetics? Didn't God create everything? Isn't God good? Or is the idea of God just some superstitious idea based on fear or naivety, an idea made obsolete by the scientific era? Are people more intelligent if they do not believe in God? Or are atheists 'fools' as the Bible relates ([Psa 14:1](#))? An atheist or an agnostic could say, "you cannot prove there is a God" and, "we do not see anything being created or any miracles by God." While the believers could say, "you do not have any real proof of evolution – where are the billions of missing links?" Today each side would be right – no observable proof exists for either side. Today we do not see any Moses parting the seas or anyone being resurrected from the dead by God as reported in the Bible. Today we don't see any species being made nor do we see the billions of missing links being found. We see many things that are good today and in the past; we also see death, diseases and destruction all throughout history. In addition we see the hypocrisy of those who claim to believe in God as well as those who do not believe.

I believe in a powerful God. With all the suffering and pain in this world, how can I or anyone believe in the good almighty God? How can such a being be good, if he allows so much misery? My answer is, I do not believe in the stereotypical gods of most religions because they fail to answer the hard questions and paradoxes pertaining to their gods. After years of study I wrote this book to explain the results of my study. Instead of describing God in a few words, I had to use tens of thousands of words because of the confusing and self-contradictory ideas people have about God. I do not *have* to believe in God merely because I was raised to believe in God. I was also reared as a member of a certain religious group which I no longer believe in or follow. I have a relatively independent mind. If there is no God, why would I have to believe in him?

I believe in the scientific method. In my research for this book I used the scientific method as far as possible. I studied contrary ideas on God; I formed new hypotheses; I tested and analyzed each hypothesis with all available facts: I was looking for the answer that would solve the paradoxes pertaining to God that would make logical sense and that would be in harmony with available evidence. Since God is invisible, I could only use indirect methods. But, whether you know it or not, modern Science also uses indirect methods to study the universe and its origin (See my Science Papers). Fundamental and extensive 'scientific' theories important to science (the big bang theory, the age of the universe, the quantum theory, the string theory, and the special and general theory of relativity) were formed through indirect methods using mathematical formulas and thought experiments – not through direct observation. Since God is invisible (spiritual) we cannot see God, except indirectly, and only as much as he wishes us to "see" him. People do not need to know if God exists; humans also do not need to know how the universe came into existence. But something inside of many of us needs to know, as we need to know who our parents were, or where our ancestors came from, or who invented the first watch, or who first discovered America, etc. An orphan has an inner need to know who his parents were; many of us have an inner need to know where the world/universe came from. Why, or how, is there life? Is death permanent? How can God, if there is one, be all powerful, all good, yet allow evil, misery and suffering?

Although we cannot yet prove (as some define proof) in a scientific manner that there is an all powerful God who created the universe, we also cannot prove or show in a scientific method any other alternative for the genesis of the universe. No one can prove in a scientific manner that evolution created the universe because, apparently, we have no witnesses (observers) for the beginning of the cosmos. (The Bible mentions that the angels witnessed the creation [[Job 38:7](#)]). Evolution is really a historical theory based on insufficient evidence, not a scientific theory based on observation.

I believe God did create the universe and here are a few reasons why I do

Law. The evolutionary theory always starts with, and assumes, the eternal existence of laws like those of mass, energy, motion, gravity, conservation, chemical bonding and so forth. Laws, in and of themselves, *are* systematic order and project intelligence and power outside of the law itself. The genetic code of life found in DNA also projects high intelligence and power. *How can the code of DNA evolve* or any law such as gravity or chemical bonding evolve? How can any code or law itself have any power? What gives a code power? I am speaking about the code itself, the order of the elements within the code. How can the *arrangement* of the code itself have power? The apparent connection between the code and its effect on a body or plant projects, or strongly suggests some kind of force or power *behind* the law. The code itself doesn't do anything, just as the letters in this book don't do anything by themselves. If you change the arrangement of the letters of the code or a word, it has a different result or may not have any. A seed grows into a certain kind of flower, not because of the code per se, but because of the power behind the code. The basic laws of the universe must have come from somewhere and the power behind these laws must have some connection to the law. Evolution has yet to explain the source of the power behind the universal laws. Science can only *describe* gravity (through mathematical formulas) and partially *describe* the code of life, but it has no idea how the power of gravity works or how or where the code of DNA gets its power. I believe that God, as described in this book, is the creator and power behind all universal laws. And I believe it is more naive to believe in a cosmic soup theory (evolution) than in a powerful God, although I agree that common descriptions of God are naive and do not explain the paradoxes pertaining to God.

Beginning. Radioactivity and laws of thermodynamics indicate there was no eternity of matter and it corollary: there was a beginning of matter. If matter always existed, without a starting point, then the "life" period of the radioactive elements would

have long ago run its course and the whole universe would be the same temperature (thermodynamic laws). The radioactive elements would have run down and there would not be any radioactive elements left; the whole universe should be the same temperature. Thus, there was a *beginning* of matter, and it wasn't that long ago, since there are still radioactive elements. The "science" of evolution cannot explain energy or matter or its source nor will it ever because it has no witnesses and has no real explanation for their beginning. A mathematical description of energy doesn't explain it, it only describes what it does in a quantitative manner in *our* solar system. God created matter and energy and in some way God is matter and God is energy as we attempt to explain in this book.

Life. The relative harmonic-symbiosis of the ecosystems, from the biochemical cell to the earth-sea-heavens, projects design. There is a co-operation, interaction and mutual dependence among life forms; one species cannot live well, or at all, without mutual-beneficial interaction of the whole: the flowers need the birds and insects for pollination in order to continue to exist and vis versa; the seed needs its DNA, the dirt with its nutriments, water and the power behind the DNA for it to grow. Our bodies need a heart, lungs, liver, intestines and so forth in order to exist: we need our whole factory of body parts and a compatible earth in order to live. The whole cannot live without the parts; the parts cannot exist without the whole. The theory of evolution maintains that life is arbitrary, for life came from a hit and miss adventure ("natural selection" or "mutation," etc.). If life is arbitrary, then the universe would be filled with the inferior products of this evolutionary process, and the inferior and half-made life-forms would greatly outnumber the surviving species. There should be fossils of the inferior products of the evolutionary process in all strata, in the rocks everywhere. In other words, the rejections of the evolutionary process should be polluting the universe. Where are the fossils of these inferior life-forms? For that matter, where are the masses of missing links in the evolutionary process? Where? Life came from God, not from the mindless soup of evolution.

The Proof. The big bang theory and other theories need to explain where the material and energy for the big bang theory came from. God, the all powerful Being, by definition, must have always been there, or else there is nothing and we are nothing and so this dialogue doesn't exist. Either the all powerful god of Evolution (mindless soup) was there at the beginning or the all powerful Being was there. Of course we cannot prove God by definition, but there is a way to settle this disagreement:

- The evolutionists can prove the universe came into existence through evolution by physically demonstrating evolution. For example, a new species being spontaneously 'created' before our eyes, or at very least finding the massive amount of missing links in the fossils record and logically explaining where laws get their power;
- The believers in the God can prove to others that there is an all powerful God by people seeing God create a new heaven and earth or by seeing God resurrect the dead back to life. Such is the prophecy recorded in the Bible: all will see the resurrection of the dead and the creation of the new heaven and earth, as apparently the angels witnessed the creation of the present universe at the beginning of the present heaven and earth.

"For out of Him [God], and through Him, and into Him, all things" ([Rom 11:36](#))

"And Beginning at Moses and all the prophets, He expounded unto them in all the Scriptures the things concerning Himself" ([Luke 24:27](#))

GP 1: God's Paradoxes and Name

[Views on God](#)

[Paradoxes on God](#)

[Law of Contradiction](#)

[Attributes of God](#)

[Problem of Evil](#)

[Titles / Names of God](#)

[The Name of God](#)

["I AM" Doctrine](#)

[Unchangeableness of God](#)

BeComing-One Papers
by
Walter R. Dolen
(c) 1971-2017